IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M.Nos.16417-18-CII of 2008
Civil Revision No.4502 of 2008

Date of Decision:01.09.2009

Shallu @ Billo

.....Petitioner

versus

Baljit Singh @ Bablu

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.K.S.Rekhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.B.R.Mahajan, Advocate,
for the respondent.

****

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(Oral)

C.M.Nos.16417-18-CII of 2008

Allowed as prayed for.

Civil Revision No.4502 of 2008

In this revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for setting aside the order dated 29.07.2008 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Amritsar, whereby an application filed by her for dismissal of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of conjugal rights on the ground of res judicata and non-maintainability, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the parties state that the parties have amicably settled the dispute. Statements of respondent-Baljit Singh @ Bablu and Satpal Singh, the father of the petitioner, who are identified by their respective counsel have been recorded separately.

Respondent-Baljit Singh @ Bablu has in his statement stated that he has entered into a compromise with petitioner-Shallu @ Billo, daughter of Satpal Singh. He further states that he was not legally married to her and that the petition filed by him under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, for restitution of conjugal rights shall be withdrawn.

The father of the petitioner, Satpal Singh, has in his statement stated that he has heard the statement made by Baljit Singh @ Bablu today in the Court and the same is correct. He further states that in view of the statement made by respondent-Baljit Singh @ Bablu, action may be taken for the cancellation or quashing of FIR No.56 of 2002 registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Amritsar, under Sections 420/384/511/465/506, IPC, at his instance against Baljit Singh @ Bablu.

In view of the statements made by the father of the petitioner and the respondent, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

September 01, 2009 **(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)**
seema **JUDGE**